

In accordance with Article 33 of the Higher Education Act (Official Gazette of the Republic of Slovenia, No.32/2012-UPB7, with amendments) and the second paragraph of Article 48 of the Statute of the School of Advanced Social Studies (SASS) in Nova Gorica of 28 September 2022, the Senate of SASS adopted the following document at its 28th correspondent meeting on 16 February 2023:

RULES ON DOCTORAL STUDY

Article 1

The School of Advanced Social Studies in Nova Gorica (hereinafter referred to as: the School) organises education programme to acquire the academic title of doctor of science in accordance with the regulations in specific areas and scientific disciplines where scientific and research activity is performed in accordance with the Statute of the SASS.

Article 2

Education programme to acquire the academic title of doctor of science takes place in the form of lectures, seminars and mentorship.

Article 3

(1) These rules specify:

- the conditions to acquire the academic title of doctor of science;
- the procedure for the application of the topic of a doctoral thesis;
- the procedure for the application of the doctoral thesis proposal;
- the procedure for approving a doctoral thesis;
- the procedure of submission, assessment and defence of a doctoral thesis;
- the procedure for revoking the title of doctor of science.

(2) The term doctor of science in this text shall be used for both genders equally.

1. THE CONDITIONS TO ACQUIRE THE ACADEMIC TITLE OF DOCTOR OF SCIENCE

Article 4

(1) The enrolment in the first year of doctoral study programme is available for candidates who:

- have completed a second cycle study programme (according to the Bologna system) and achieved a minimum 300 ECTS in first and second cycle or in the uniform master's study programme (according to the Bologna system);
- have completed a (pre-Bologna) undergraduate university study programme;
- have completed a study programme for acquiring specialisation and before that completed the previous higher education professional programme. In accordance with the second indent of Article 16 of the Act Amending the Higher Education Act (Official Gazette of the Republic of Slovenia, No. 94/06), additional study obligations in the amount of 30 credits are required for graduates from the previous sentence. Obligations are laid down by the competent authority of the faculty.

(2) According to the criteria for transfers, the second year of doctoral study programme can be enrolled by a candidate who has completed:

- a study programme leading to a master's degree adopted before 11. 6. 2004, and has 60 ECTS recognized upon enrolment;
- a university study programme adopted before 11. 6. 2004, and a study programme for obtaining specialization, and has 60 ECTS recognized upon enrolment;

by the end of the first semester of the 2nd year, the student must submit a disposition of their doctoral dissertation.

(3) According to the criteria for transfers, enrolment in the second year of doctoral study is possible if the candidate has submitted a proposal of the thesis in the first or the second study programme and obtained at least 45 ECTS credits, whereby at least half of the credits are from the acquired competencies or learning outcomes comparable to the competencies or learning outcomes of the compulsory subjects of the other study programme.

(4) According to the criteria for transfers, enrolment in the third year of doctoral study is possible if the candidate has a confirmed proposal of the thesis in the first study programme and at least 105 ECTS credits, whereby at least half of the credits are from the acquired competencies or learning outcomes comparable to the competencies or learning outcomes of the compulsory subjects of the other study programme.

(5) The conditions of this Article also apply to applicants who have started their master's studies abroad. In this case, prior nostrification of the achieved study result is required.

(6) If a candidate obtains a master's degree abroad, his/her degree must be nostrified before he/she is allowed to study in order to obtain a doctorate of science.

(7) The School may limit enrolment if the number of applications significantly exceeds the number of places available. In case of limited enrolment, candidates will be selected based on the average grade of master's study exams without the grade of the master's thesis (or the average grade of exams of the last two years of study in case of completed single master's study) and the grade of the master's thesis. The criteria shall have the following weight: average grade of study (60%) and grade of diploma or master's thesis (40%). In the event that the master's study did not include a master's thesis or it was not graded, the only criterion is the average grade of master's study exams. In the case of university pre-Bologna graduates, candidates will be selected based on the average grade of exams of the last two years of study (60%) and the grade of the diploma thesis (40%).

Article 5

(1) In accordance with Article 39 of the Higher Education Act and the Criteria for Transferring between Study Programmes, a transition to a third-cycle study programme is possible from study programmes of the same cycle. Applications for transitions shall be considered individually in accordance with the rules of the faculty. Obligations that the student already completed are compared with the required obligations at the School, both in terms of content and number of ECTS credits, and can be recognised as completed study obligations in the new programme. Additional obligations are prescribed to the student for missing content. The competent authority of the School shall decide on this.

(2) In accordance with the 3rd indent of Article 16 of the Act Amending the Higher Education Act (Official Gazette of the Republic of Slovenia, No. 94/06), study obligations in the amount of 60 credits are recognised to graduates of previous (i.e. pre-Bologna) study programmes for obtaining a master's degree in science or specialization after completing the study programme for obtaining a university degree in a doctoral study programme of the third cycle. On this basis, they can enrol directly in the second year, but by the end of the first semester of the year, they must submit a proposal of their doctoral thesis.

(3) In accordance with the second indent of Article 16 of the Act Amending the Higher Education Act (Official Gazette of the Republic of Slovenia, no. 94/06) graduates of previous study programmes who have previously completed a higher education professional programme must complete additional study obligations in the total amount of 30 credits. Obligations are laid down by the competent authority of the faculty.

Article 6

(1) In order to advance from the first to the second year, the student must have achieved at least 30 ECTS credits from the first year, and must submit the application of the topic of the thesis approved by the Scientific and Research Committee on the form OBR-FUDŠ-010 and a doctoral thesis proposal approved by the mentor on the form OBR-FUDŠ-011 via the School's information system or the online learning environment specified by the School.

(2) The prerequisites for advancement from the second to the third year are the completion of all the first year requirements within the scope of 60 credit points, the completion of the second year individual work within the scope of 60 credit points, which is evaluated on the basis of the opinion of the mentor on the form OBR-FUDS-020 (Assessment of individual work of doctoral student), and the approved doctoral thesis proposal at the Senate of SASS.

(3) The School Senate may allow the student to advance to a higher year, even if they have not met the required conditions, in the following circumstances: motherhood, extended illness, exceptional family or social circumstances, participation in top cultural, sport or professional events. In this case, the student must provide appropriate evidence.

(4) A student who does not meet the conditions for enrolment in a higher year can repeat a year or transfer to another study programme or field due to non-fulfilment of requirements the previous field or programme.

Article 7

(1) Conditions for the completion of the study are as follows:

- successful completion of all the prescribed study obligations;
- preparation and successful defense of the doctoral dissertation.

(2) A student completes his/her studies when he/she has accumulated all 180 credit points required by the study programme.

Article 8

(1) A candidate who completed all the requirements of the first and second years of study may replace the doctoral thesis with the publication of at least three scientific articles in the field of the thesis topic (published or accepted for publication after enrolment in the doctoral programme).

(2) The articles must be categorised in Group A or B according to the SRA methodology and the candidate must be the sole or first author. The articles must be thematically related (complementary), and the result of work on the accepted topic of the doctoral thesis.

(3) In addition to the articles, which must be related to the thesis topic, the thesis also contains an extended introductory part (at least 30 pages of text, excluding the reference list) in which the candidate defines the research problem, the hypothesis or research question, shows how the

articles answer the hypothesis or research question, and identifies his/her original contribution to science.

(4) A candidate who wishes to carry out the thesis by means of articles shall declare the topic and the proposal for the thesis and submit and defend the thesis according to the same procedure as other students.

(5) In the case of a thesis prepared in accordance with this Article, the provision of the last sentence of paragraph 2 of Article 18 of these Regulations shall not apply.

2. APPLICATION FOR THE SUBJECT OF DOCTORAL THESIS

Article 9

(1) A candidate who wishes to obtain a PhD degree at the School submits the application of topic of doctoral thesis to the Scientific and Research Committee on the form OBR-FUDŠ-010 (Application of topic of doctoral thesis) via the School's information system or the online learning environment specified by the School.

(2) The application of topic of doctoral thesis must include:

- an indicative title of thesis;
- a brief description of the thesis (up to two pages);
- possible proposal of the mentor and possible co-mentor of the thesis;
- a possible proposal to write the thesis in English.

(3) The form Application of topic of doctoral thesis may be written in Slovenian or English. The topic (title) must be given in both Slovenian and English.

(4) The candidate submits the signed application via the School's information system or the online learning environment specified by the School. If the (co-)mentor is not a member of the Academic Assembly of the School, the candidate must also obtain the signature of the (co-)mentor before submitting the application.

(5) The mentor and the potential co-mentor who is/are a member of the Academic Assembly of the School shall familiarise himself/herself with the proposed topic of the doctoral student and confirm its relevance via the School's information system or the online learning environment specified by the School. The confirmation also commits them to assume (co-)mentorship

(6) The topic of the doctoral dissertation shall be considered by the Scientific and Research Committee and a decision shall be taken. Upon approval of the topic of the doctoral thesis, the decision is also made on the suitability of the mentor and potential co-mentors. If the candidate does not propose a mentor, the Committee shall appoint one on the basis of the prior consent of the mentor.

(7) In the event that the Scientific and Research Committee rejects the topic and/or the proposed mentor and/or potential co-mentor, the candidate may appeal to the School Senate. The latter makes the final decision on the topic and the mentor and possible co-mentor of the thesis.

(8) If the candidate wishes to change the topic (title), he/she may do so by resubmitting the form Application of topic of doctoral thesis.

Article 10

- (1) A higher education teacher who holds a doctorate degree and at least the title of assistant professor may apply to act as a mentor and co-mentor for a doctoral thesis.
- (2) A mentor must have high-level and current educational, scientific, and research references that correspond to their field. In the case where the mentor is not a member of the School's Academic Assembly, the candidate shall be assigned a co-mentor, who must be a member thereof.
- (3) The mentor shall fulfil the conditions laid down in the standards and criteria of the Slovenian Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education (SQAA). The Scientific and Research Committee shall decide whether the mentor fulfils these conditions.
- (4) The highest recommended number of doctoral students per mentor is 5 per doctoral study programme.
- (5) It is recommended that the mentor be the instructor or a participant in the field-appropriate research projects or programmes.
- (6) The fulfilment of the conditions for mentoring shall be assessed by the Scientific and Research Committee during the procedure for the application of the topic of doctoral thesis.

3. APPLICATION OF DOCTORAL THESIS PROPOSAL

Article 11

- (1) After approval of the topic, the candidate submits the form OBR-FUDŠ-011 (Doctoral thesis proposal) with the proposal of the doctoral thesis (hereinafter: proposal). The disposition (with the signature of the candidate) is submitted through the School's information system or the online learning environment specified by the School.
- (2) The proposal shall comprise the following:
 - title (if the proposal is written in a foreign language, the Slovenian translation of the title must also be written next to the title in brackets);
 - research question, thesis or hypothesis/es based on relevant literature;
 - description of the research method;
 - the answer to the question of what should be the original contribution of the thesis to the development of the relevant scientific field;
 - justification of the relevance of the planned doctoral thesis with regard to the focus of the discipline of the doctoral programme;
 - short structure of the thesis (in the form of an index);
 - list of basic literature and sources on which the thesis will be based (at least 20 units).
- (3) The entire proposal consists of 8-15 pages, without the bibliography (spacing 1.5, font size 12, Times New Roman).
- (4) A candidate enrolled in the first year of doctoral studies shall publicly present his thesis within the framework of the course Disposition Seminar.
- (5) The mentor and the potential co-mentor shall familiarise themselves with the proposed topic of the thesis of the doctoral student and confirm its relevance via the School's information system or the online learning environment specified by the School.

4. PROCESS FOR APPROVAL OF DOCTORAL THESIS PROPOSAL

Article 12

(1) Within 30 days after the thesis proposal is submitted, the Scientific and Research Committee determines whether the proposal contains all the elements from Article 10 of these Rules. If it does not contain all the elements, the candidate is asked to supplement the proposal, otherwise it is forwarded to the Senate together with the proposal of the Committee to assess the suitability of the proposal.

(2) After the submission of the proposal, the Scientific and Research Committee shall propose a Committee for assessing the suitability of the proposal for doctoral thesis. The proposal of the Committee is forwarded to the Senate.

Article 13

(1) The committee for evaluation of doctoral thesis proposal consists of: the mentor, the co-mentor, if any, the chair of the committee and at least one member, all of whom must be higher education teachers or researchers in the field in which the candidate wishes to obtain the doctorate. One member of the committee must (as a general rule) be from outside the Academic Assembly of the School, and the chair of the committee must (as a general rule) be a member of the Academic Assembly of the School. The chair of the committee is the rapporteur, whose task is to coordinate the work of the committee and to write the final report.

(2) During the evaluation of the proposal, the committee may make written suggestions to the candidate for its improvement, but may do so only once, and the candidate must respond to these suggestions within the time limit set by the committee and submit the corrected proposal to the Student Office. Even if the candidate does not reply to the suggestions, the Committee must write a report.

(3) The doctoral thesis proposal evaluation committee shall prepare a report within a maximum of 30 days after the appointment. This time limit shall be extended by the time allowed to the candidate by the committee to respond to any suggestions for improvement of the proposal. The evaluation report with the signatures of the members of the committee shall be sent electronically (.pdf) to the Student Office.

Article 14

(1) In a written report, the committee shall evaluate the following:

- whether the content of the proposal is consistent with the approved topic and whether the thesis is justifiably expected to make an independent and original contribution to the relevant scientific field;
- whether the key theses and questions that the candidate intends to study in the thesis are sufficiently clearly defined in the proposal;
- whether a suitable method for the preparation of the thesis is foreseen;
- whether the stated title and field of the thesis correspond to the intended content;
- whether the planned doctoral thesis complies the focus of the discipline of the doctoral programme;
- whether the basic literature from the field that the candidate intends to research in the dissertation is listed.

(2) The written report of the committee on the form OBR-FUDŠ-012 (Assessment of suitability of the doctoral thesis proposal) must, as a rule, be written in Slovenian or in the language in which the Senate has previously authorised the candidate to write his/her doctoral thesis. The report must contain:

- the title of the proposed doctoral thesis with the caption "assessment of suitability of the doctoral thesis proposal";
- an evaluation of the content structured in terms of the previous paragraph;
- a summary with overall assessment;
- date and signatures of the members of the committee - the rapporteur must be credited as the „rapporteur“.

Article 15

(1) The report of the doctoral thesis proposal evaluation committee shall be considered by the Senate.

(2) If the Senate adopts a positive evaluation or a conditional positive evaluation of the proposed thesis, it shall inform the candidate and the mentor/co-mentor thereof by means of a decision. This shall enable the candidate to continue his/her doctoral studies. In the event of a conditional positive evaluation of the proposed disposition, the Senate shall inform the candidate and the mentor/co-mentor of the comments and proposals of the thesis proposal evaluation committee. The candidate must submit additions and amendments or write a suitably revised thesis proposal within the time limit proposed by the committee. Within a time limit not exceeding one month, the rapporteur shall prepare a written evaluation of the corrections, which shall be an addendum to the report of the thesis proposal evaluation committee. If the candidate does not revise the proposal in accordance with the comments and suggestions of the committee, he/she may not submit the completed doctoral thesis.

(3) The School Senate may allow a candidate to write his/her doctoral thesis in a foreign language if the candidate is a foreigner, or if the mentor, co-mentor or member of the thesis proposal evaluation committee is a foreign higher education teacher, or in another justified case.

(4) If the Senate adopts a negative evaluation of the proposal, it shall issue a decision and inform the candidate and the mentor/co-mentor about the comments and proposals of the thesis proposal evaluation committee. The candidate must submit additions and amendments or write a suitably revised thesis proposal within the time limit set by the Senate. Within a time limit not exceeding one month, the rapporteur shall prepare a written evaluation of the corrections, which shall be an addendum to the report of the thesis proposal evaluation committee. This evaluation shall be reconsidered by the Senate. If the candidate does not comply with the comments, the Senate shall, by decision, reject the thesis proposal or reconfirm the negative evaluation.

note: The third paragraph has already been amended by the decision of the Senate of 28.2.2019. In addition, on the basis of the amended third paragraph, the Senate adopted the following decision on 28.2.2019: The Senate authorises the doctoral theses to be written in English, Croatian, Serbian (in Latin script), Bosnian and Montenegrin (in Latin script).

Article 16

(1) in the event of disagreement between the mentor or potential co-mentor and the candidate, the candidate may request the replacement of a mentor or co-mentor. The student requests a change of mentor by re-submitting the form OBR-FUDŠ-10 (application for diploma/master thesis) to the Scientific and Research Committee via the School's information system or the online learning environment specified by the School. The committee appoints a new mentor or co-mentor. If the candidate disagrees with a new mentor or co-mentor, they can appeal to the School Senate. The Senate makes the final decision regarding the new mentor or co-mentor.

(2) A mentor or potential co-mentor may withdraw from (co)mentorship. The explanation shall be sent to the Scientific and Research Committee. The committee appoints a new mentor or co-mentor. If the candidate disagrees with a new mentor or co-mentor, they can appeal to the School Senate. The Senate makes the final decision regarding the new mentor or co-mentor.

5. SUBMISSION, EVALUATION AND DEFENCE OF DOCTORAL THESIS

Article 17

(1) A candidate for a doctoral degree must submit a doctoral thesis by the end of the third year.

(2) A candidate who does not submit the doctoral thesis within this deadline may apply for an extension of the deadline by one year. The application is decided by the Scientific and Research Committee, which may extend the deadline for the submission of the doctoral thesis by up to one year. If the candidate still fails to submit the doctoral thesis within this time limit for justifiable reasons, he/she may request a further extension of the submission deadline for a maximum of two years.

(3) If the candidate fails to submit the doctoral thesis within the time limit referred to in paragraph 2 of this Article or fails to request an extension before the time limit expires, and in any event after 5 years have elapsed since the approval of the thesis proposal, the candidate must repeat the procedure for applying for the topic.

Article 18

(1) In regular contact with the candidate, the mentor shall take care of the appropriate scientific level of the thesis.

(2) The candidate must submit a draft of his/her doctoral thesis, previously approved by the mentor, which will be presented in the Dissertation Seminar. The draft of the doctoral thesis shall be sent in electronic format to komisije@fuds.si. The members of the committee appointed by the Scientific and Research Committee are present at the Dissertation Seminar. The members shall, as a general rule, be the same as those referred to in Article 12 of these Rules. The members shall complete the OBR-FUDŠ-021 form at the Seminar.

(3) If the Committee at the Dissertation Seminar decides that the candidate has successfully completed the presentation of the draft doctoral thesis and the candidate has successfully completed the other requirements of this course, the course instructor shall determine the candidate's final grade and ensure that the grade is entered in the student's electronic academic transcript.

Article 19

(1) The thesis is usually written in Slovenian, but may also be written in a foreign language with the consent of the Senate. If it is not written in Slovenian, it must have as an integral part an introduction, a conclusion and a comprehensive summary in Slovenian, amounting to 10 per cent of the total text.

(2) The thesis must consist of a table of contents, an summary, an introduction, the main text, a conclusion, a list of literature cited, authors and subject index, possible summary and, if applicable, a summary pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article. The thesis may not be shorter than 250 000 characters (without spaces).

(3) The thesis must be written and edited in accordance with the School's Technical Instructions for Writing Professional and Scientific Works. The candidate is responsible for the linguistic correctness of the thesis and the evaluation committee may reject the thesis if it is not prepared in accordance with the guidelines set out in this Article.

Article 20

(1) The candidate submits the thesis in an electronic format that allows for the input of corrections via the School's information system or the online learning environment specified by the School. In addition to the thesis, the candidate must also submit the form Review and submission of doctoral thesis OBR-FUDŠ-014. The content of the submitted thesis is validated by the mentor and any co-mentor via the School's information system or the online learning environment specified by the School.

(2) From the day on which the thesis is submitted, a period of 14 days begins to run, during which a technical examination must be carried out by a librarian, who confirms the technical adequacy via the School's information system or the online learning environment specified by the School.

(3) Once the technical examination has been successfully completed, the doctoral thesis is forwarded by the Student Office to the Senate, which, at its first meeting, issues a decision on appointment of a committee for its evaluation (as a rule) with the composition as defined in Article 12. The members (as a general rule) are the same as the members of the thesis proposal evaluation committee.

(4) The members of the thesis evaluation committee must review the dissertation within a maximum of one month of their appointment and send separate written reports on the evaluation of the thesis in electronic form (in pdf format) to the School Student Office.

(5) On the basis of the submitted reports, the Senate determines whether the opinion of the members of the thesis evaluation committee is positive or negative. If the Senate finds that at least one member of the committee has given a negative evaluation of the doctoral thesis, it may appoint an additional member of the committee. The additional member of the committee must review the thesis within a maximum of one month from the date of his/her appointment and send a written report on the evaluation of the thesis in electronic form (in pdf format) to the School Student Office.

On the basis of the reports submitted, the Senate shall, by decision, accept or reject the doctoral thesis or return it to the candidate for amendment or supplement and set a reasonable deadline for corrections.

(7) The committee reviews the completed and revised thesis and submits new reports on it. If the candidate fails to revise the thesis within the time limit set, the thesis is rejected. A rejected thesis may not be resubmitted by the candidate.

21. Article

(1) The report on the evaluation of the doctoral thesis on the form OBR-FUDŠ-013 (Evaluation of Doctoral Thesis) must include:

- Heading in the following form: "Evaluation of doctoral thesis (candidate's first and last name) titled...";

- analysis of the structure of the thesis and the methods used, as well as an evaluation of the originality of the theses contained within, the validity of its evidence and compliance with the doctoral thesis proposal;
- evaluation of the stylistic and linguistic level of the thesis;
- final evaluation and determination of whether the defence of the thesis is possible.

(2) Each member of the Committee must write a separate report. As a rule, the report is written in Slovenian and in another language if the author of the report is a foreigner or in other justified cases.

Article 22

(1) If the Senate accepts the positive evaluation reports of the doctoral thesis, it shall, on that basis, accept the positive evaluation of the doctoral thesis and, by decision, appoint a defence committee, which shall (as a rule) consist of the members of the thesis evaluation committee.

(2) The School Student Office, in agreement with the candidate and the defence committee, shall determine the time and place of the defence of the thesis. Both shall be made public by the School.

Article 23

(1) The defence of the thesis is generally in Slovenian and in another language if the dissertation is written in a foreign language.

(2) The defense begins with the presentation of the candidate's CV, title and field of the thesis and the previous procedure for accepting the thesis. The candidate and the chairman of the committee making the presentation shall stand. The candidate has the right to present his/her doctoral dissertation in 30 minutes, using various audio-visual aids. The members of the committee then present their reports on the thesis, after which the members of the committee have the right to ask questions, followed by other persons present at the defence with the approval of the Chairman. The questions should be asked in such a way that the candidate can answer them in no more than one and a half hours.

(3) After the presentation of the thesis and the questions from the committee, the candidate is normally entitled to a 45-minute break to prepare his/her answers.

(4) After the defence and the discussion, the thesis defence committee shall meet separately and decide by majority vote whether the candidate has successfully defended his/her doctoral thesis. In the event of a tie, the vote of the Chairman shall be decisive. The decision is made in writing, stating who defended the doctoral thesis, the title of the thesis, the decision and a brief explanation of the decision (up to 30 lines), the date and time of the defence, and the signatures of the members of the committee, indicating their roles on the committee. This decision is then read by the chairman of the committee to the candidate and to those present at the defence. All those present shall stand.

(5) The chairman of the committee writes a record of the defence of the thesis on the form OBR-FUDŠ-015 (Defence of doctoral thesis), which includes the questions addressed to the candidate and the conclusion that the defence was successful.

Article 24

A thesis defence which has been evaluated unsuccessful by the committee may not be repeated.

Article 25

(1) When publishing the doctoral thesis, in whole or in part, the author must indicate that the publication is based on the thesis defended (year of the defence) at the School. If part or all of the text of the thesis is published before the defence of the doctoral thesis, the author must indicate that it is a contribution from the doctoral thesis of a postgraduate student of the School.

(2) If there are any possible reservations regarding the public availability of the thesis, the candidate shall mark this as appropriate in the form OBR-014 in box A and also submit a written request for restricting access to the thesis along with submitting appropriate evidence. Reasonable reservations to the public availability of the thesis are: patent protection, protection of business secrets, protection of results for the purpose of enforcing intellectual property rights, ensuring the safety of people and nature, protection of classified information.

Article 26

Within 14 days after the successful completion of the defence, the student submits three bound copies of the thesis (1 copy for the SASS library, 2 copies for NUK), which must be identical to the version previously submitted through the School's information system or the online learning environment specified by the School, to the Student Office, which submits the thesis to the School library.

6. REKOVING THE DOCTORATE

Article 27

The doctorate may be revoked if it is established that the doctoral thesis is not the result of the candidate's own creativity and achievements. The revocation procedure may be initiated by anyone and shall be conducted by the Senate in accordance with the regulations.

7. TRANSITIONAL AND FINAL PROVISIONS

Article 28

(1) These Rules shall enter into force on the day following its adoption by the SASS School Senate.

(2) These Rules shall be published on the School's website.

(3) On the day these Rules enter into force, the Rules on doctoral study from 20 September 2018 shall cease to apply.

Prof. Borut Rončević, PhD, m.p.
Dean

Implementation documents:

- OBR-FUDŠ-010: Application of topic of doctoral thesis
- OBR-FUDŠ-011: Doctoral thesis proposal



FAKULTETA ZA UPORABNE DRUŽBENE ŠTUDIJE

- OBR-FUDŠ-012: Assessment of suitability of the doctoral thesis proposal
- OBR-FUDŠ-013: Evaluation of doctoral thesis
- OBR-FUDŠ-014: Review and submission of doctoral thesis
- OBR-FUDŠ-015: Defence of doctoral thesis
- OBR-FUDŠ-020: Assessment of individual work of doctoral student
- OBR-FUDŠ-021: Dissertation seminar
- Technical instructions for writing professional and scientific works